IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

FRIDAY, THE SEVENTEENTH DAY OF JUNE
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE ELIPE DHARMA RAO

-

WRIT PETITION NO : 8596 of 2000

Between:

Y. Anandarao S/o.Narayana,
R/o.Malkajgiri, Secunderabad.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 State of Andhra Pradesh,

Rep.by Secretary, Industries Department,
Secretariat, Hyderabad.
2 Hyderabad Allwyn Limited, Sanathnagar,
Hyderabad.
3 Sub Registrar,
Kukatpally, R.R.District.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a Writ of Mandamus declare the action of the 2nd respondent in wrongly typed the name of the petitioner in the Registered sale deed of the petitioner as Y. Anantharao instead of Anandarao as illegal, void an opposed to the principles of natural Justice and consequently direct the respondents to issue correct registered sale deed in favour of the petitioner forthwith and to pass such other or further orders as this Hon'ble court may deem fit.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.V.VENKATA KUMAR

Counsel for the Respondents: GP FOR LABOUR

The Court made the following:

ORDER:

Declaring the action of respondent No.2 in wrongly trying the name of the petitioner in the registered Sale deed as Y.Anantarao instead of Anandarao, as illegal and arbitrary, the present writ petition has been filed.

Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Government Pleader for Labour.

It is contended by the learned counsel for the petitioner that while the petitioner was an employee of Allwyn, he was allotted the house site plot No.C/543, admeasuring 96 sq. yards, in Sy.No.336 situate at Kukatpally village, Rajendranagar Taluq, Ranga Reddy District, and the same was registered in his favour on 16-11-1987. He retired from service on 30-06-1997 by taking voluntary retirement. Later, when he wants to perform his daughter's marriage by selling the said house property, he noticed the wrong typing of his name as Y.Anantarao instead of Anandarao. Therefore, the petitioner approached the second respondent to correct his name, but the same was not considered.

From a perusal of the record, there is no indication that the petitioner approached the 3rd respondent-Sub-Registrar, Kukatpally, to correct his name in the Sale Deed.

Under those circumstances, I deem it appropriate to direct the petitioner to approach

the 3rd respondent-Sub-Registrar, Kukatpally, with the necessary documentary proof of his name as “Anandarao” instead of “Y.Anantharao”. The 3rd respondent, after verification and on satisfaction, is directed to issue the Certificate correcting the name of the petitioner as Anandarao instead of Y.Anantarao.

With the above observation, the writ petition is disposed of. No order as to costs.

_____

17-06-2005

Prv

To

1 The Secretary,

State of Andhra Pradesh,

Industries Department,
Secretariat, Hyderabad.

2 Hyderabad Allwyn Limited, Sanathnagar,
Hyderabad.

3 The Sub Registrar,
Kukatpally, R.R.District.

4 Two CCs to G.P. for Labour, High Court of Andhra Pradesh,

Hyderabad (OUT).

5 Two CD copies.